

Project confinencé parle Fonde Euroben de Direitoppentent Régional

Project co-Tinnacod by the European Regional Development Fund



Identification of the risk activities and vulnerability systems in terms of present and future emissions in the Port of Venice (WP5.2. Report)

February 2013





WP5.2 APICE Project Task Report

Authors Chapters presenting emission estimations and conclusions: Francesca Liguori, Silvia Pillon, Salvatore Patti ARPAV

Chapters about the involvement of stakeholders Elena Gissi, Marco Meggiolaro

Regione Veneto

APICE Partnership Area : VENICE

Scientific partner: ARPA Veneto

Scientific Coordinator: Salvatore Patti Support to scientific coordination: Francesca Liguori Expert in aerosol monitoring: Aurelio Latella Expert in air monitoring and quality assurance for air monitoring: Alessio De Bortoli Expert in Chemical Transport Models: Silvia Pillon and Elena Elvini Expert in Meteorological models: Alberto Della Fontana Expert in Emission Inventory: Laura Susanetti and Stefania De Vettori Expert in Air Quality Assessment and Analysis: Giovanna Marson, Erika Baraldo and Luca Zagolin Financial Manager: Antonella Spolaore Support to financial management: Lucia Da Rugna

Institutional partner: Veneto Region–Spatial planning and Parks department Project Manager: Tiziana Quaglia Alberto Miotto, Veneto Region–Spatial planning and Parks department Pierpaolo Campostrini, CORILA Managing director, EU Marine Integrated policy, environmental research issues, stakeholder participation, public administration involvement Elena Gissi, IUAV University of Venice, Urban Planning Matteo Morgantin, CORILA, data processing

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Recional Development Fund

Contents

1. Identification of the present time risk activities and vulnerability systems in terms of
emissions 4
2. Identification of the future risk activities and vulnerability systems in terms of emissions13
3. Involvement of stakeholders in the activities relevant with the presence of the port in
Venice
4. Conclusions
5. References

Projet cofinance) par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund

1. Identification of the present time risk activities and vulnerability systems in terms of emissions

The analysis of the present time risk activities has been developed for the Port of Venice considering the following emissions:

- activities of ships and vessels in different phase of trip (cruise, hotelling, manoeuvring)
- harbor craft: tug boats
- loading and unloading of ships
- in port traffic load induced by port activities (as road and as railway transport).

The methodologies applied for the emission estimations are the following:

• for load/unload of ships: AP42 - Aggregate Handling And Storage Piles (EPA, 2006), that calculates the emission of particles of material (PM10 and PM2.5) starting from the quantities of material in operations of loading, unloading and storage. The emission factors are expressed in mass of pollutant per mass of material handled, and it is a function of the relative humidity of the material. The calculation for the port of Venice has been applied on the total amount of dried bulk materials arriving to and departing from the port in a year, included the coal for the Coal-fired power plant;

• for road transport: the Italian Fleet COOPERT IV Emission Factors (ISPRA, 2012), applied on the mileages driven by the total amount of vehicles (duty vehicles and passenger ones) arriving and leaving from the port in a year, as estimated by the Venice Port Authority;

• for railway transport: the EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook (chapter - 1.A.3.c Railways) emission factors, applied on the number trains arriving and leaving form the port in a year, as estimated by the Venice Port Authority;

• for ship emissions: the Tier 3 Ship movement methodology of the EMEP/EEA CORINAIR Guidebook (EEA, 2009 update March 201) applied on the Venice Port Authority (APV) database, recording the arrivals and the departures of ships and vessels during the whole year 2011. Since the APV database doesn't contain information on the type of fuel used by each ship, the estimation has been performed using the statistical distribution of the 2010 world fleet reported for the different typologies of ships into the two groups of fuel: Bunker Fuel Oil (BFO) and Marine Diesel Oil /Marine Gas Oil (MDO/MGO), as suggested on the EMEP/EEA Guidebook itself.

Moreover for SO₂ estimation by ship emissions, the assumption on fuel Sulphur content are:

- 0,1% for hotelling phase (Directive 2005/33/EC)
- 1.5% for passenger ships inside territorial waters (Directive 2005/33/EC)
- 2,7 % for BFO and 1% per MDO/MGO (not distinguished by EMEP/EEA Tier 3 approach) (ENTEC, 2002).

The emission calculation has been developed and discussed for the $100 \times 100 \text{ km}^2$ scale, chosen as domain to be analyzed in APICE since the previous emission inventory task. The kilometers travelled in cruise phase by the ships outside the lagoon are almost 44-47 km, depending on the lagoon inlet (Lido or Malamocco), of which 22 km inside territorial seas.

The emissions due to the local traffic of boats and water buses (*vaporetti*) in the city of Venice and in the surrounding lagoon has been considered, too. The calculation has been based on the total amount of gasoline and marine gas oil sold by the fuel stations operating in the area and the fuel consumed by the public water service (consumption data referred to year 2008).

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund

On the following table (Tab 1.1), ship emissions are reported by ship categories (EMEP/EEA ship categories classification) and phase of trip for the whole traffic of the Port of Venice.

	AC	TIVITIES O	F SHIPS A	ND VESSEI	LS			
								Duration
Hotelling	со	NOx	SO2	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5	(hours)
Container	50	423	14	17	×	11	11	18,705
Dry bulk carriers	25	209	7	8	deboi	5	5	19,913
General cargo	23	188	6	7	A guid	5	5	42,147
Liquid hulk shins	69	559	19	48	/EE≁	27	27	22 553
Others	12	000			MEP			22,000
	12	90		3	ш	2		9,504
Passenger	64	503	17	21	lated	15	15	35,930
Ro Ro Cargo	7	53	2	2	estim	1	1	3,677
Tugs					Not			
Total	249	2025	67	106		67	67	152,428
	AC	TIVITIES O	F SHIPS A	ND VESSEI	S			
N A	60		603			DMAG	D142 5	Duration
Container	18	NUX 153	502 129		NH3	PM10 19	10	(nours) 2 524
	4	31	26		EA	4	4	1 151
General cargo	5	37	32	3	EP/E	5	5	3.013
Liquid bulk shins	7	60	50	5	E H	7	7	2.571
Others	1	11	7	1	id in leboo	1	1	552
Passenger	50	322	193	32	nate guid	50	50	4.071
Ro Bo Cargo	3	23	21	2	estir	3	3	521
	39	233	10	23	Not	20	20	11.459
Total	127	870	468	82		109	109	25,863
								Duration
Cruise	со	NOx	SO2	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5	(hours)
Container	31	363	227	7	ΞA	20	20	1,765
Dry bulk carriers	8	88	55	2	EP/EI	5	5	1,002
General cargo	14	141	95	3	E X	6	6	3,547
Liquid bulk ships	16	173	112	3	d in eboo	9	9	2,274
Others	4	40	22	1	nate guid	1	1	748
Passenger	106	845	552	18	estir	29	29	3,653
Ro Ro Cargo	9	77	56	2	Not	3	3	525
Total	188	1727	1119	36		73	73	13,514
								Duration
Hotelling+Manouvering+Cruise	CO	NOx	<u>\$02</u>	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5	(hours)
Container	100	940	370	38	A	50	50	22,995
	30	328	122	12	P/E	14	14	22,066
General Cargo	42	200 707	100	14	Ш ¥ Ш	01 CN	01 CN	40,707
Others	92 17	192	101	50	l in l ⊳boo	43 5	43 5	27,390 10 803
Passenger	219	1671	763	71	nate⊧ guid∉	94	94	43 654
Ro Ro Cargo	18	153	78	6	estin	7	7	4,723
Тиря	39	233	10	23	Not	20	20	11.459
Total	564	4622	1655	224		249	249	191.805

Tab 1.1 Pollutant emissions (in tn/year) by ship activities in the port in Venice (year 2011)

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-financed by the European Recional Development Fund

Beside the total amount of emissions (in Mg or tons) for the various pollutants, the duration (in hours) of the activities is reported also in term of sum of hours spent by all the ships of a certain category. The duration is presented as an useful metric in comparing emissions with other ports and in comparing emissions within the same port for the different contribution given by the different phases of navigation, as shown in the following graph (Fig 1.1).

Fig 1.1. Total time spent by all the ships on the different phases in year 2011 in the Port of Venice.

The emissions data presented in table 1.1 are summarized, on the following graph (Fig 1.2), for the two simple classes of passenger ships and cargo ships, considering into this latter class all the ships and vessels carrying other then passengers (Container, Dry Bulk carriers, General Cargo, Liquid bulk ships, RoRo Cargo, Others). The emissions considered are those emitted during the three phases of navigation inside the 100x100 studied domain: hotelling, maneuvering and cruise.

Projet cofinance par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Recional Development Fund

Fig 1.2 Pollutant emissions (in tn/year) by ships and vessels in the port in Venice (year 2011)

(1) Hotelling+ Manouvering+Cruise

(2) Container+Dry Bulk carriers+General Cargo+Liquid bulk ships+RoRo Cargo+Others

The following table (Tab 1.2) compares ship emissions of the port of Venice to the Venetian water traffic inside the lagoon and the particulate matter emissions due to operations of loading, unloading and storage of dry bulks.

The huge emissions of CO (and of NMVOC) for the Venetian water traffic is due to the consumption of gasoline by many of the boats circulating inside the lagoon.

Tab 1.2 Pollutant emissions	(in tn/ye	ar) by s	hip acti	vities fo	r the po	rt of Ve	enice (yea	ar 2011) and
Venice water traffic (year 20	08).							

								Duration
Hotelling+Manouvering+Cruise	со	NOx	SO2	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5	(hours)
Passenger ships (1)	219	1671	763	71		94	94	43,654
Cargo ships (1) (2)	306	2719	882	130		135	135	136,691
Passenger + cargo ships + tugs (1)	564	4622	1655	224		249	249	191,805
Venice water traffic inside the								
Lagoon	2095	525	1	678	0.1	85	85	
Load/unload ships						4	1	
(1) Hotelling+ Manouvering+Cruise								
(2) Container+Dry Bulk carriers+General Ca	rao+l iquid bulk	shins+RoRo		re				

The traffic emissions induced on roads and railways by the total amount of vehicles arriving to and departing from the port of Venice is presented on the following table (Tab 1.3). The induced traffic emission estimation has been calculated for the studied domain of 100 x 100 Km^2 , as previously explained.

trojet cofinance par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European ional Development Fund

IN-PORT	TRAFFIC LO	AD INDUC	ED BY PO	RT ACTIVIT	TES					
	CO NOX SOX NMVOCS NH3 PM10 F									
		NUX	SUX	NIVIVUUS	NH3	PINITO	PIVIZ.5			
1										
Passenger vehicles (cars,										
motorcycles, campers, buses)	10	5	0.005	1	0.1	0.4	0.3			
Heavy duty vehicles - commercial										
road transport	190	804	0.38	41	0.4	26	23			
Freight on railways	1	3	0.0	0.23	0.00	0.10	0.10			
Total	200	811	0	42	1	27	24			

Tab 1.3 In port traffic load induced by port activities (in tn/year) (year 2011)

The following graph (Fig 1.3) compares ship emissions, as sum of passenger and cargo ships, with the emissions by the water traffic inside the lagoon, the road traffic induced by port activities, split in passenger vehicles and duty vehicles, and lastly the emissions due to transport of freight by trains. For ship emissions only hotelling and maneuvering phases are considered.

⁽³⁾ Hotelling+ Manouvering

The timeframe of the ships activities in the port in Venice is discussed, once again, splitting the ships in the various categories and the two main classes of passenger and cargo ships. The analysis has been based on the arrivals and departures records of the year 2011.

The variation within the year is shown in terms of monthly percentage of the total movements in the year of the single category (Fig 1.4).

The seasonal variation is a clear pattern for the passenger categories (Fig 1.5), whereas for the commercial cargo there's not an important seasonal pattern (Fig 1.6). 8

	НОТ	ELLING AND M	ANOUVERING OF SH	IPS AI	ND VE	SSELS)								
		Hotelling	Manouvering		N	/lonth	ly var	iation	(% of	total	arriva	als in :	a yea	r)	
Ship category	Type of ship	Mean Duration (h)	Mean Duration of a single arrival or departure (hh.dec)	gen	feb	mar	apr	mag	giu	lug	ago	set	ott	nov	dic
	Cruise ships	18	2	0%	1%	1%	4%	10%	14%	18%	20%	15%	12%	5%	1%
	Ro-ro passenger vessels	6	1.4	6%	6%	7%	7%	8%	10%	10%	10%	10%	9%	8%	8%
Passenger ship	Yacht - charter class	67	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	18%	30%	34%	12%	1%	0%	0%
	Yacht - pleasure crafts	97	1	0%	1%	1%	3%	8%	21%	30%	21%	11%	2%	1%	1%
	High speed passenger crafts	5	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	7%	25%	44%	22%	0%	0%	0%
General cargo	General dry cargo ship	44	1.6	6%	8%	7%	7%	7%	10%	11%	8%	10%	9%	10%	8%
General Cargo	High speed cargo craft	7	0.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	31%	29%	16%	4%	0%	0%
Ro Ro Cargo	Ro-ro cargo ship	22	1.6	5%	7%	6%	6%	8%	12%	12%	8%	8%	10%	10%	8%
Container	Containership	26	1.7	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	9%	10%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%
Dry bulk corriers	Bulk carrier	63	2	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	11%	10%	8%	7%	8%	10%	8%
Dry bulk carriers	Heavy load carrier	76	1.8	10%	7%	4%	5%	1%	13%	9%	14%	11%	9%	7%	11%
	Tankship	37	1.9	8%	8%	9%	5%	6%	7%	10%	13%	10%	10%	8%	6%
Liquid bulk chips	Gas carrier	26	1.9	7%	6%	8%	7%	7%	9%	11%	10%	9%	11%	8%	7%
Liquid buik ships	Chemical tankship	33	1.9	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	9%	9%	11%	9%	9%	10%	10%
	Oil tankship	36	1.9	7%	6%	7%	8%	9%	9%	8%	9%	8%	10%	10%	9%

Fig 1.4 Time frame of the ships and vessels arrival and departures (year 2011)

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Regional Development Fund

Fig 1.5 Seasonal variation for the passenger ship movements in the Port of Venice.

Fig 1.6 Seasonal variation for the cargo ship movements in the Port of Venice

For the risk activity assessment the emission estimation has been discussed not only for the total amount of traffic of the Port of Venice, but also splitting the emissions between the two different routes of entry into the lagoon: Lido inlet and Malamocco inlet.

The first route brings the ships and vessels to the various berths inside the historical city of Venice and most of them, through the Giudecca Chanel to the Venice Passenger Terminal in Marittima, whereas the Marghera-Malamocco channel brings mainly cargo ships to the various berths of the Commercial and Industrial Terminals in Porto Marghera (on the inner border of the lagoon) or southward to the Oil Terminal in San Leonardo (see Fig 1.7).

Fig 1.7 Location of the Venice Port Terminals and nautical access to the various berths

Provided by Venice Port Authority (APV; APICE Venice conference January 2012)

On the following table (routes of arrival to the Terminals. The emissions are, once again, referring to the $100x100 \text{ Km}^2$ studied domain, and thus considering the 3 different trip phases: hotelling, manouvering and cruise outside the lagoon.

Tab 1.4) and the related graph (Fig 1.8), the same emissions discussed for the whole Port of Venice (Tab 1.1) are presented split into the two routes of arrival to the Terminals. The emissions are, once again, referring to the 100x100 Km² studied domain, and thus considering the 3 different trip phases: hotelling, manouvering and cruise outside the lagoon.

Tab 1.4 Pollutant emissions (in tn/year) by ship activities in the port in Venice, divided by the two inlets into the Lagoon and the location in Venice and in Porto Marghera-San Leonardo (year 2011)

	ACTIVITIES OF SHIPS AND VESSELS							
	Mg	СО	NOx	SO2	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5
	Hotelling Passenger Ships	59	470	16	20	ΕA	14	14
	Hotelling Cargo Ships	9	72	2	4	P/EI	2	2
Lide entrenes and	Manouvering Passenger Ships	47	301	181	29	N ×	47	47
Lido entrance and	Manouvering Cargo Ships	2	15	13	1	l in l boode	2	2
of Venice	Tugs	19	112	5	11	nateo juide	10	10
	Cruise Passenger ships	99	790	516	17	stin	27	27
	Cruise Cargo Ships	4	42	27	1	Not e	2	2
	total emissions	238	1802	760	83	2	104	104
	Hotelling Passenger Ships	4	33	1	1	A	1	1
	Hotelling Cargo Ships	176	1450	48	81	P/EI	50	50
Malamocco entrance	Manouvering Passenger Ships	3	21	13	2	M ¥	3	3
and Terminals in	Manouvering Cargo Ships	37	300	252	26	l ii l boode	37	37
Porto Marghera and	Tugs	20	120	5	12	nateo juide	10	10
San Leonardo	Cruise Passenger ships	7	55	36	1	estin	2	2
	Cruise Cargo Ships	78	840	541	17	Not e	41	41
	total emissions	326	2820	896	141	-	145	145

Fig 1.8 Pollutant emissions (in tn/year) by ship activities in the port in Venice, divided by the two inlets into the Lagoon and the location in Venice and in Porto Marghera-San Leonardo (year 2011)

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Regional Development Fund

2. Identification of the future risk activities and vulnerability systems in terms of emissions

The future time emissions at 2020 in Venice has been calculated considering the two main Port development projects with a realistic realisation within 2020: the Venice Motorways of the Sea Terminal and the new Container Terminal. Moreover, for the port emission projection an yearly rate increase of 2% for the cruise movements has been considered, as shown by trend on this sector in the last years.

The increase of ship traffic volumes of the development scenarios foreseen for 2020 year is that reported on the following table (Tab 2.1)

Traffic increase:	(2020-2011)/2011
Containership	18%
Passenger ship	17%
Ro-ro cargo ship	11%
Ro-ro passenger vessel	77%
All other typologies	0%
Total arrivals	26%

Tab 2.1 Traffic increase of the 2020 Port development scenario

The Venice Motorways of the Sea Terminal (APV, Fig 2.1) will be constructed in Fusina at the junction between the southern industrial channel and the Malamocco-Marghera channel, equipped with 4 quays to berth simultaneously up to 4 ships. It will serve rolling stock traffic, i.e. ferries transporting trucks or their trailers (Ro-Ro) and ferries carrying cars and

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Developpement Régional Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund passengers (Ro-Pax). It will be linked to the rail network, with its own logistics platform, serving up to 1,200 ferries.

The new terminal project foresees, at its maximum development, the ability to cater for 1800 Ro-ro cargo ships and Ro-ro passenger vessels a year. Both Ro-Ro and Ro-Pax ships will spend an average of about six hours in the terminal. The average time for mooring, dismoring and manouvering will be about two hours between entrance and exit by the Malamocco inlet.

For the future emissions estimation at 2020 the following data of the Venice Motorways has been considered:

- 1800 Ro-Ro and Ro-Pax vessels
- 6 hours of hotelling for each one
- almost 2 hours of manouvering for each arrival or departure
- location in the Commercial Port of Porto Marghera (Fusina area)

The emission calculation has been applied considering that the 1800 Ro-ro cargo ships and Ro-ro passenger vessels substitute the current around 500 ro-ro and ro-pax ships, the latter almost all mooring now in the terminals inside the historical city of Venice.

The new Container Terminal will be erected in the site of former industrial facilities in Porto Marghera (formerly Syndial and Montefibre). The traffic volumes increase foreseen by APV for 2020 year is of 155 containership arrivals per year, that is the 21% of the 2011 containerships movements. This is only a first development of the containership terminal for which a much more important growth is foreseen after 2020 with the realisation of the off – shore Terminal outside the Venice Lagoon.

Fig 2.1 Location of the future Venice Motorways of the Sea Terminal

Projet cofinance par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Recional Development Fund

Provided by APV.

Beside the emissions by ships, also for the development scenario the traffic emissions induced on roads and railways by the total amount of vehicles arriving to and departing from the port of Venice has been considered. The induced traffic emission estimation has been calculated starting from the forecast of duty and passenger vehicles on road, as well on

15

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-financed by the European Recional Development Fund railway provided by APV for the two projects. The estimation refers to the studied domain of $100 \times 100 \text{ Km}^2$, as previously done for the present time scenario.

For the SOx estimation, the 2020 scenario has considered the following limit on sulphur content:

- % S in hotelling phase for all ships, as the present time scenario (this sulphur limit implies a total shift from BFO to MDO/MGO)
- 0.5% S in manouvering and cruising phases for all ships (since this sulphur limit doesn't imply a shift from BFO to MGO/MDO, the same proportion between BFO and MDO/MGO of the EMEP/EEA methodology has been considered; moreover for BFO an emission reduction of 20% for PM10 and PM2.5 has been applied as suggested in EC, 2008).

On the following table (Tab 2.2), ship emissions are reported by ship categories (EMEP/EEA ship categories classification) and phase of trip for the whole traffic of the Port of Venice for the 2020 scenario.

Projet cofinance par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Recional Development Fund

Tab 2.2 Pollutant emissions (in tn/year) by ship activities in the port in Venice for the future development scenario (year 2020)

HotellingCONOXSO2NMVOCNH3PM10PM2.5(hours)Container6655920766542.1471042.72722.553Others665591933202044.405202044.405Dursh Sho Cargo7655922207101751022044.405Total3522.30577711775162.35310 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Duration</th>									Duration
Container 61 614 17 20 14 14 2.2.721 General cargo 23 188 6 7 5 5 19 94 General cargo 23 188 6 7 16 5 42.147 Liquid bulk ships 66 658 19 94 8 22 2 9.544 Passenger 87 666 23 28 20 2 4.140 Ro Gargo 76 59 2 2 4.140 6.233 28 20 20 2 4.140 6.233 28 20 20 4.140 6.233 28 20 20 4.140 6.233 28 20 20 4.140 6.233 28 20 20 4.140 6.233 33 33 3.151 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 <td< td=""><td>Hotelling</td><td>со</td><td>NOx</td><td>SO2</td><td>NMVOC</td><td>NH3</td><td>PM10</td><td>PM2.5</td><td>(hours)</td></td<>	Hotelling	со	NOx	SO2	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5	(hours)
Dry bulk carriers 25 209 7 8 10 10,913 General cargo 23 188 6 7 10 5 5 42.147 Uauld bulk ships 69 559 19 48 22 2 9.504 Passenger 87 666 23 28 9.504 20 2.00 4.100 Ros Ro Cargo 76 59 2 7 7 5 162.33 Total 352 2.305 77 117 7 5 162.33 Container 20 Nox SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM25 Duration Container 22 108 3 3 1.151 3 3 3 1.151 General cargo 5 37 7 60 10 6 6 2.57 Dry bulk carriers 1 11 2 1 1 552 3 3	Container	61	514	17	20		14	14	22,721
General cargo 23 188 6 7 Up 5 5 4.2.147 Louid bulk ships 66 559 19 48 19 27 22 22 2.50 Dhers 12 90 3 33 2 2 2 2.50 Passenger 67 686 23 20 41.405 2 2 2 4.140 Ro Cargo 76 68 23 20 2 4.140 2 4.140 5 2 2 2 4.140 5 2 4.147 5 5 7 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 3 3 3 1 1 1 5 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Dry bulk carriers	25	209	7	8	/EE/	5	5	19,913
Liquid bulk ships 69 559 19 48 Top Set of the	General cargo	23	188	6	7	AE P	5	5	42,147
Others 12 90 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 9,504 Passenger 87 666 23 20 20 21 41,405 Ro Cargo 76 59 2 20 41,405 20 21 41,405 Total 352 2,005 77 117 75 162,353 161,353 162,353 161,353 162,353 161,155 162,353 161,155 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 162,353 17,667 162,353 17,667 17,667 162,353 17,667 162,353	Liquid bulk ships	69	559	19	48	in Xoo	27	27	22,553
Passenger B7 686 23 28 8 20 20 20 44.405 Tugs - <td>Others</td> <td>12</td> <td>90</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>ited</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>9,504</td>	Others	12	90	3	3	ited	2	2	9,504
Ro Ro Cargo 76 59 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 5 Total 352 2,305 77 117 75 75 162,353 Manouvering CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 22 186 29 77 3 3 3 1.51 General cargo 5 37 7 33 3 1.51 General cargo 6 37 7 3	Passenger	87	686	23	28	gu	20	20	41,405
Tugs Image: Column and transform	Ro Ro Cargo	76	59	2	2	ot es	2	2	4,110
Total 352 2,305 77 117 75 75 162,333 Manouvering CO NOx SO2 NMUCC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration Container 22 186 29 17 19 19 3.066 Ory buk carriers 4 31 5 37 7 3 3 3 1.155 General cargo 5 37 7 3 3 3 1.155 Others 1 111 2 11 1 5 3 3 583 Ro Ro Cargo 14 225 5 22 3 3 583 Total 222 1.478 235 141 162 162 3 3 583 Total 222 1.478 235 141 162 162 2.280 9 Dry buk carriers 8 8 0 2 2 2 2 </td <td>Tugs</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ž</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Tugs					ž			
ACTIVITIES OF SHIPS AND VESSELS NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 22 186 29 17 19 3 3 1,151 General cargo 5 37 7 3 3 3 1,151 General cargo 5 37 7 3 4 4 3.013 1 1 1 5 3 3 3 1,151 3 3 3 1,151 3 3 1,151 3 3 1,151 3 3 1,151 3 3 1,151 3 3 1,531 3 3 583 3 3 583 3 3 583 3 3 1,766 3 3 1,766 3 3 1,766 3 3 1,766 3 3 1,766 3 3 1,766 3 3 1,766 3 3 3 2,280 2,280 2,280 2,280	Total	352	2,305	77	117		75	75	162,353
Manouvering CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 22 186 29 17 19 19 3.066 Dry bulk carriers 4 31 5 3 3 1.151 General cargo 5 37 7 3 4 4 3.066 Dity bulk carriers 1 111 2 1 1 552 Others 1 111 2 1 1 552 Passenger 119 769 161 75 3 3 1583 Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 37,863 Container 20 20 2,290 20 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 2,290 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>									
Manouvering CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 (hours) Container 22 186 29 17 7 3 3 1,161 Dry bulk carriers 4 31 5 3 3 3 1,161 General cargo 5 57 7 43 4 4 3.013 Liquid bulk ships 1 111 2 1 1 55 Passenger 119 769 161 75 96 96 9.260 Ro Ro Cargo 4 422 1478 235 141 1 161 75 Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 37.863 Container 238 442 52 9 Maration Moration Moration Container 38 442 52 9 1 1 7 2.429 1 1 1			ACTIVITIES	OF SHIPS AND) VESSELS				Duration
Container 22 186 29 1111 1111 111 1	Manouvering	0	ΝΟχ	502	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5	(hours)
Dry bulk carriers 4 31 5 3 4 31 5 3 3 1.151 General cargo 6 37 7 3 1 4 4 3.013 Liquid bulk ships 7 60 10 6 6 6 2.57 Passenger 119 769 161 75 3 3 3 583 Tugs 60 359 16 35 141 162 162 3 3 583 Tugs 60 359 16 35 141 162 162 37,863 Container 28 442 52 9 MH3 9M10 PM2.5 (hours) Container 38 442 52 9 20 2.02 2.290 Dy bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 4 4 1.070 General cargo 14 141 19 3 3 </td <td>Container</td> <td>22</td> <td>186</td> <td>29</td> <td>17</td> <td></td> <td>19</td> <td>19</td> <td>3.066</td>	Container	22	186	29	17		19	19	3.066
Cruise CO NOX SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 JUration Cruise CO NOX SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 JUration Cruise CO NOX SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 JUration Cruise CO NOX SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 JUration Container 38 442 52 90 JUration	Dry bulk carriers	4	31	5	3	EA	3	3	1.151
Characterization T Col	General cargo	5	37	7	3	EP/E	4	4	3.013
Others 1 11 2 1 1 2 1 1 652 Passenger 119 769 161 75 96 96 9,260 Ro Ro Cargo 4 25 5 2 3 3 583 Tugs 60 359 16 35 141 162 162 37,863 Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 37,863 Container 38 442 52 9 9 9 9 0 20 2,290 Ontationer 38 442 52 9 7 7 2,429 7 7 2,429 7 7 2,429 7 7 2,240 1,070 5 5 3,758 3 3 626 3 3 626 7 7 7 2,429 1 1 7 7 7 2,429 1 1	Liquid bulk ships	7	60	10	5	₩ ₩	6	6	2,571
Passenger 119 769 161 75 96 96 96 9,260 Ro Ro Cargo 4 25 5 2 3 3 583 Tugs 60 359 16 35 31 31 17,667 Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 37,863 Container 38 442 52 9 9 9 946 94 4 160 37,863 Container 38 442 52 9 9 94 4 4 1,070 942.5 5 5 3,758 Liquid bulk ships 16 173 22 3 7 7 2,429 7 7 2,429 11 1 788 82 82 8,312 33 3 626 3 3 3 626 2 8,312 33 3 626 3 3 3 3	Others	1	11	2	1	ed in lebo	1	1	552
Ro Cargo 4 25 5 2 Tugs 60 359 16 35 Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 31 31 17,667 Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 37,863 Container CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 38 442 52 9 Y 20 20 2,290 Dy bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 Y 20 20 2,290 Others 4 40 6 1 9 3 3 3 3 626 3,758 Ro Cargo 10 86 13 2 7 7 7 2,429 Others 4 40 6 11 1 788 3 3 626 8312 122	Passenger	119	769	161	75	guic	96	96	9,260
Tugs 60 359 16 35 2 31 31 17,667 Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 37,863 Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 38 442 52 9 20 20 2,290 Dry bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 3 3 16,0075 General cargo 14 141 19 33 3 66 3,758 Others 4 40 6 1 9 3 3 3 66 Passenger 240 1,919 324 57 82 82 82 83 28 82 82 83 28 82 83 28 82 83 28 28 83 28 28 83 28 82 83 28 28	Ro Ro Cargo	4	25	5	2	esti	3	3	583
Total 222 1,478 235 141 162 162 37,863 Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 38 442 52 9 20 20 2,290 Dry bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 4 4 1,070 General cargo 14 141 19 3 5 5 3,758 Uquid bulk ships 16 173 222 3 7 7 2,429 Others 4 40 6 1 7 7 2,429 Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 2 3 3 626 Total 330 2,888 446 77 122 122 19,284 Motelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Mouration Dry bulk carriers	Tugs	60	359	16	35	Not	31	31	17,667
Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 (hours) Container 38 442 52 9 20 2.290 Dry bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 3 4 4 1.070 General cargo 14 141 19 3 4 4 1.070 Others 4 40 6 1 7 7 2.429 Passenger 240 1.919 324 577 3 3 3 626 Total 30 2.888 446 77 122 122 19.284 Motelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 (hours) Container 121 1.141 98 46 77 122 122 122 122 122 2.135 General cargo 42 365 32 141 14 <	Total	222	1,478	235	141		162	162	37,863
Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 38 442 52 9 20 20 2.290 Dry bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 4 4 1.070 General cargo 14 141 19 3 5 5 3.758 Liquid bulk ships 16 173 22 3 9 9 7 7 2.429 Others 4 40 6 1 1 1.798 Passenger 240 1,919 324 57 82 82 8.312 Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 2 3 3 626 Total 330 2,888 446 77 122 122 19,284 Co Nox SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Ouration Container 121 1,141									
CruiseCONOxSO2NMVOCNH3PM10PM2.5Duration (hours)Container384425293222.290Dry bulk carriers8881023441,070General cargo141411933553,758Liquid bulk ships16173223172,429Others440061988111Passenger2401,9193245778888111Ro Ro Cargo1086132213212212219,284Total3302,88844677712212212219,284Motelling+Manouvering+CruiseCONOxSO2NMVOCNH3PM10PM2.5DurationMotelling+Manouvering+Cruise1211,1419846114148,918144148,918Liquid bulk ships9279250561414448,918148,918Liquid bulk ships927925056144410,854Passenger4463,37450916119819819858,978Ro Cargo901712063577758,978Ro Cargo6035916135198198198198<									
CruiseCONOxSO2NMVOCNH3PM10PM2.5(hours)Container384425299202.290Dry bulk carriers8888102441.070General cargo141411933553.758Liqui bulk ships16173223553.758Others4400619828282Passenger2401.91932457782828282Total3302,8884467712212219.284Total3302,8884467712212219.284Hotelling+Manouvering+CruiseCONOxSO2NMVOCNH3PM10PM2.5PurationContainer1211.141984677122122122125General cargo423653214414448,918Liqui bulk ships9279250566141448,918Dry bulk carriers363282212112212212122,135General cargo4463,374503566141448,918Liqui bulk ships9971120663131368Passenger4463,37450916119858,978Ro Ro Cargo90775056									Duration
Container 38 442 52 9 20 2.290 Dry bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 General cargo 14 141 19 33 Liquid bulk ships 16 173 22 33 Others 4 40 6 1 Passenger 240 1,919 324 57 Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 20 3 3 626 Total 330 2,888 446 77 122 122 19,284 Motelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 121 1,141 98 46 11 14 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 36 328 22 12 12 12 22,135 General cargo 42 365 32 14 44 40,818 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 56 4 40 22,552 <td>Cruise</td> <td>со</td> <td>NOx</td> <td>SO2</td> <td>NMVOC</td> <td>NH3</td> <td>PM10</td> <td>PM2.5</td> <td>(hours)</td>	Cruise	со	NOx	SO2	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5	(hours)
Dry bulk carriers 8 88 10 2 General cargo 14 141 19 3 Liquid bulk ships 16 173 22 3 Others 4 40 6 1 Passenger 240 1,919 324 57 Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 22 Total 330 2,888 446 77 Motelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 Photelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 General cargo 42 365 328 22 12 Ontainer 121 1,141 98 46 94 Dry bulk carriers 36 328 22 12 12 22,135 General cargo 42 365 32 14 14 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 566 40 <td>Container</td> <td>38</td> <td>442</td> <td>52</td> <td>9</td> <td>EA</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>2,290</td>	Container	38	442	52	9	EA	20	20	2,290
General cargo 14 141 19 3 Mark 5 5 3,758 Liquid bulk ships 16 173 22 3 7 7 2,429 Others 4 40 6 1 7 7 2,429 Passenger 240 1,919 324 57 82 82 8,312 Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 20 3 3 626 Total 330 2,888 446 77 7 2,429 Motelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 121 1,141 98 46 PM10 PM2.5 10 10 Dry bulk carriers 36 328 22 12 12 22,135 14 14 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 56 14 44 10,854 <td>Dry bulk carriers</td> <td>8</td> <td>88</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>EP/EI</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>1,070</td>	Dry bulk carriers	8	88	10	2	EP/EI	4	4	1,070
Liquid bulk ships 16 173 22 3 7 7 2,429 Others 4 40 6 1 1 798 Passenger 240 1,919 324 57 82 82 8,312 Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 2 3 3 626 Total 330 2,888 446 77 7 2,429 Motelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 121 1,141 98 46 77 12 12 22,135 General cargo 42 365 32 14 14 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 566 40 40 27,552 Others 17 142 11 5 40 44 10,854 Passenger 446 3,374 509 161 198 198 58,978 Ro Ro Cargo 90 60 <t< td=""><td>General cargo</td><td>14</td><td>141</td><td>19</td><td>3</td><td>E ME</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>3,758</td></t<>	General cargo	14	141	19	3	E ME	5	5	3,758
Others 4 40 6 1 99 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Liquid bulk ships	16	173	22	3	d in eboc	7	7	2,429
Passenger 240 1,919 324 57 82 82 8,312 Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 2 122 122 122 19,284 Total 330 2,888 446 77 122 122 19,284 Hotelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration (hours) Container 121 1,141 98 46 77 122 12 22,135 General cargo 42 365 32 14 14 48,918 14 14 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 566 14 14 448,918 Passenger 446 3,374 509 161 198 198 58,978 Ro Ro Cargo 90 171 20 6 3 31 31 33 33 Tugs 60 359 161 355	Others	4	40	6	1	guide	1	1	798
Ro Ro Cargo 10 86 13 2 3 3 626 Total 330 2,888 446 77 122 122 1928 Hotelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 Duration Container 121 1,141 98 46 73 53 53 28,077 Dry bulk carriers 36 328 22 12 12 22,135 General cargo 42 365 32 144 448,918 144 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 566 34 44 40,854 Passenger 446 3,374 509 161 96 4 4 40,854 Ro Ro Cargo 90 171 200 6 7 7 5,320 Tugs 60 359 16 359 359 219,500	Passenger	240	1,919	324	57	estir	82	82	8,312
Total3302,8884467712212219,284Image: Comparison of Comparis	Ro Ro Cargo	10	86	13	2	Not	3	3	626
Image: constraint of the image	Total	330	2,888	446	77		122	122	19,284
Hotelling+Manouvering+Cruise CO NOx SO2 NMVOC NH3 PM10 PM2.5 (hours) Container 121 1,141 98 46 53 53 28,077 Dry bulk carriers 36 328 22 121 121 22,135 General cargo 442 365 322 14 14 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 56 40 40 27,552 Others 17 142 11 5 9 14 44 10,854 Passenger 446 3,374 509 161 198 198 58,978 Tugs 60 359 16 35 31 31 17,667 Total 904 6,671 757 335 359 219,500									
Hotelling+Wanduvering+CruiseCONOXSO2NMVOCNH3PM10PM2.5(nours)Container1211,1419846 $111111111111111111111111111111111111$		60	NO.,	600			51440	5142.5	Duration
Container 121 1,141 35 40 35 35 20,07 Dry bulk carriers 36 328 22 12 12 22,135 General cargo 42 365 32 14 14 48,918 Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 56 40 40 27,552 Others 17 142 11 5 44 4 10,854 Passenger 446 3,374 509 161 5 7 7 5,320 Tugs 60 359 16 35 31 31 17,667 Total 904 6,671 757 335 359 359 219,500	Hotelling+Wanouvering+Cruise	121	NOX 1 1/1	SU2 08	NINVOC 16	NH3	PIVI10 53	PIVI2.5	(nours) 28.077
Dry burk carriers 300 320 22 13 14 14 48,918 13 14 14 14 48 10 8 10 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <t< td=""><td>Dry bulk carriers</td><td>36</td><td>328</td><td>30</td><td>12</td><td>A</td><td>12</td><td>12</td><td>20,017</td></t<>	Dry bulk carriers	36	328	30	12	A	12	12	20,017
Liquid bulk ships 92 792 50 56 14 14 46,910 Others 17 142 11 5 9 4 4 10,854 Passenger 446 3,374 509 161 198 198 58,978 Ro Ro Cargo 90 171 20 6 7 7 5,320 Tugs 60 359 16 35 31 31 17,667 Total 904 6,671 757 335 359 359 219,500	General cargo		365	22	12	EP/EI	1/	1/	22,100 48 Q18
Induction Ships 02 102 00 00 100 <t< td=""><td>Liquid hulk shine</td><td>42</td><td>702</td><td>50</td><td>56</td><td>¥ EME</td><td>⊿∩</td><td>14 ⊿∩</td><td>27 552</td></t<>	Liquid hulk shine	42	702	50	56	¥ EME	⊿∩	14 ⊿∩	27 552
Passenger 446 3,374 509 161 198 198 58,978 Ro Ro Cargo 90 171 20 6 7 7 5,320 Tugs 60 359 16 35 2 31 31 17,667 Total 904 6,671 757 335 359 359 219,500	Others	17	142	11	50	d in eboc	40	-+0 	10 854
Roscingo 90 171 20 6 7 7 5,320 Tugs 60 359 16 35 31 31 17,667 Total 904 6,671 757 335 359 359 219,500	Passenger		142		5	ate	400	-	10,004
Tugs 60 359 16 35 2 31 31 17,667 Total 904 6,671 757 335 359 359 219,500		446	3,374	509	161	é o	198	198	58,978
Total 904 6,671 757 335 359 359 219,500	Ro Ro Cargo	446 90	3,374 171	509 20	161	estim	198 7	198 7	58,978
	Ro Ro Cargo Tugs	446 90 60	3,374 171 359	509 20 16	161 6 35	Not estim g	198 7 31	198 7 31	58,978 5,320 17.667

The future development emissions data presented in Tab 2.2 are summarized, on the following graph (Fig 2.2), for the two simple classes of passenger ships and cargo ships, considering into this latter class all the ships and vessels carrying other then passengers (Container, Dry Bulk carriers, General Cargo, Liquid bulk ships, Ro-Ro Cargo, Others). The

Projet cofinance) par le Fionda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Regional Development Fund emissions considered are those emitted during the three trip phases inside the 100x100 Km² studied domain: hotelling, manouvering and cruise.

(1) Hotelling+ Manouvering+Cruise

(2) Container+Dry Bulk carriers+General Cargo+Liquid bulk ships+RoRo Cargo+Others

Differently to the 2011 emissions, on the 2020 scenario the grater emission contribution is by passenger ships and this is mainly due to the Ro-ro passenger vessel traffic increase of the new Motorways of the Sea Terminal.

With the unique exception for SO_2 emissions, for which the limitation of 0.5% in sulphur content for the manouvering and cruising phases brings to an important decrease, all the other pollutants record an increase between 40% to 60%, considering the whole Port of Venice and the three phases of navigation The percentage are different considering separately the Commercial/industrial terminals in Porto Marghera and the other terminals in the historical city of Venice and considering only the hotelling and the manouvering phases, as reported on the following graph (Fig 2.3).

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Recional Development Fund

Fig 2.3 Emissions of the 2020 development scenario in respect to the 2011 present scenario.

On the following table (Tab 2.3) and the related graph (Fig 2.4), the same emissions discussed for the whole Port of Venice for the 2020 scenario (Tab 2.2) are presented split into the two routes of arrival to the Terminals. The emissions are, once again, referring to the 100x100 Km^2 studied domain, and thus considering the 3 different trip phases: hotelling, manouvering and cruise outside the lagoon.

Tab	2.3 Pc	olluta	nt e	missions	in (in	tn/ye	ear) by	ship	activitie	es in	the	e port	in Venic	e, divio	ded by th	ie
two	inlets	into	the	Lagoon	and	the	locatio	on in	Venice	and	in	Porto	Marghe	ra-San	Leonard	o
(yea	r 2020)														

	Mg	со	NOx	SO2	NMVOC	NH3	PM10	PM2.5
	Hotelling Passenger Ships	49	388	13	16		11	11
	Hotelling Cargo Ships	11	68	2	4		2	2
L'els suturns surd	Manouvering Passenger Ships	44	284	60	28		36	36
Lido entrance and	Manouvering Cargo Ships	2	15	2	1		1	1
of Venice	Tugs	16	98	4	9		8	8
0	Cruise Passenger ships	93	745	126	16		22	22
	Cruise Cargo Ships	4	41	5	1		2	2
	total emissions Venezia	219	1,639	213	75		82	82
	Hotelling Passenger Ships	38	298	10	12		9	9
	Hotelling Cargo Ships	255	1,551	51	85		53	53
Malamocco entrance	Manouvering Passenger Ships	75	485	102	47		61	61
and Terminals in	Manouvering Cargo Ships	41	335	55	30		34	34
Porto Marghera and	Tugs	43	261	12	25		22	22
San Leonardo	Cruise Passenger ships	147	1,174	198	41		60	60
	Cruise Cargo Ships	86	928	117	19		39	39
	total emissions Porto Marghera	685	5,032	544	259		277	277

Fig 2.4 Pollutant emissions (in tn/year) by ship activities in the port in Venice, divided by the two inlets into the Lagoon and the location in Venice and in Porto Marghera-San Leonardo (year 2011)

The emissions estimated for the traffic load induced by the port activities on the 2020 scenario are reported on the following table (Tab 2.4).

IN-	PORT TRAFF	IC LOAD INDUC	ED BY PORT	ACTIVITIES (N	/lg)		
	со	NOx	SOx	NMVOCs	NH3	PM10	PM2.5
Passenger vehicles (cars,							
motorcycles, campers, buses)	12	6	0.01	2	0	0.5	0.4
Heavy duty vehicles -							
commercial road transport	332	1,406	1	71	1	46	41
Freight on railways	1	7	0	1	0	0	0
Total	346	1,419	1	73	1	47	41

Tab 2.4 In port traffic load	induced by port a	activities (in tn/y	ear) (year 2020)

3. Involvement of stakeholders in the activities relevant with the presence of the port in Venice

As one of the most important objective of APICE project is to promote the decision-making approach and the related set of mitigation measures, designed by the project and contained in the Local Action Plan, across the policy-makers and private operators, a stable and proactive exchange scheme between the key public and private players was an outstanding phase of the project implementation in the Venice area.

The consensus-building process adopted in the Venetian pilot area was mainly based upon bilateral and larger round tables between the local partners - Veneto Region and the Regional Agency for the Environmental Protection - and those governmental and economic actors that play a role in addressing solutions to reduce emissions and improve the airquality. Among the others, the Port Authority of Venice, the Municipality of Venice, the Venice Passengers Terminal, the Venice Harbourmaster, the Customs Agency and the Consortium for Researches for the Venice Lagoon were involved in the mainstreaming process since the beginning of the project operation, then stretched throughout the project life.

This kind of approach focused mainly on the organization of technical workshops during which Veneto Region and ARPAV transfer to the above mentioned local networks the APICE scientific findings related to the emission sources and risk factors, with the final purpose of shaping a common analytic frame, getting feedbacks on potential mitigation strategies and pave the way towards agreements between economic operators, ship-owners and PAs.

Veneto Region and ARPAV organized the first round-table on 27th March 2012 at the premises of the Venice Port Authority. After having explained the project performances so far - above all the scientific results obtained during the air monitoring campaign - the discussion delivered some useful indication by the Venice Port Authority and the Municipality of Venice on the most relevant topics to be included in the APICE Action Plan for the Venetian area. In specific, a better knowledge on the air pollution mitigation measures implemented by the other APICE 's cities and the necessity to analyze the forthcoming scenarios were raised by the key-stakeholders. A broad availability to consider any contribution coming from APICE (in line with the legislation in force and not affecting the growth perspectives of the Port) to improve the air conditions in the Venetian area was confirmed by all participants.

The analysis of potential risks and mitigation actions concerning the most relevant economic and urban port and coastal areas, carried out by the Veneto Region, and based on the modelling elaborated by ARPAV for the Venice lagoon, was the topic of the second roundtable held again at the premises of the Venice Port Authority on the 25th of September 2012. The stakeholders were asked to provide a feedback over a wide given list of possible mitigation actions - ranging from wise spatial planning measures to the electrification of the guays – to identify the most fitting ones for the Venetian case, in line with the main European and International legislation (in specific, MARPOL). The stakeholders were invited to implement the analysis presented by Veneto Region with comments and insights, as well as to increase the analysis with materials, data or their knowledge as "experts" in the sector. As result of the discussion, the stakeholders agreed on a common approach to the strategy for the Local Adaptation Plan, and established a ranking with the most suitable actions according to the criteria of evaluation that were implemented by the APICE Partnership. They also compromised themselves in preparing the base for a voluntary agreement related to the adoption of low sulphur fuel for cruise ships while manoeuvring inside the Venice Lagoon, to anticipate the entry into force of the MARPOL ANNEX VI, foreseen for the 2020, as a robust

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Développement Régional Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund action to reduce emissions at source and to mitigate the risk of exposure of the Venice Historical Islands.

Further bilateral meetings between APICE Venice partners (Veneto Region and ARPAV) and Venice Port Authority were organized in the final part of the project to gather information and to emphasize the importance of reaching voluntary agreements with the ship owners to testing and adopting abatement technologies to curb emissions.

Beside the workshops, the mainstreaming strategy was applied also at a larger regional scale, to inform policymakers, planners, environmentalists economists, ship and port managers and the civil society through a targeted dissemination actions consisting in the delivery of international and local newsletters, the project website and press releases. A crucial milestone in the communication strategy was represented by the international final conference organized in Venice on the 8th November 2012, with the presence of the European Commission - Directorate-General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries and the European Cruise Council, together with local and international maritime operators. The conference reaffirmed the centrality of the Mediterranean Sea and its port-cities in driving economic recovery after the downturn and stresses the need of concrete strategies for the reduction of emissions and to increase the energy efficiency in port areas.

4. Conclusions

In the present time emissions scenario, considering the two simple categories of passenger ships versus not passenger ships (sum of Container, Dry Bulk carriers, General Cargo, Liquid bulk ships, RoRo Cargo, Others) this latter category is responsible of a greater contribution for all pollutants.

Considering every ship category separately (passenger ships, Container, Dry Bulk carriers, General Cargo, Liquid bulk ships, RoRo Cargo, Others.), the most important emission source for all pollutants is the passenger ships. The second larger contributor to total maritime emissions is the containers for all pollutants except NMVOC for which the second most important source is the liquid bulk ships.

As regards comparison among emissions in the three different phases of a trip (hotelling, manouvering and cruise), taking into consideration that the emission calculation has been developed for the 100 x 100 km² scale, in which the kilometers travelled in cruise phase by the ships outside the lagoon are almost 44-47 km, depending on the lagoon inlet (Lido or Malamocco), of which 22 km inside territorial seas, passenger ships, emissions are highest during the cruising mode for all pollutants except for NMVOC emissions which are highest during the hotelling phase. Emissions from liquid bulk ships are highest during hotelling mode for all pollutants except SO₂ for which emissions are highest on-cruise.

Differently to the 2011 emissions, on the 2020 development scenario, considering the two simple categories of passenger ships versus not passenger ships, the grater emission contribution is by passenger ships and this is mainly due to the Ro-ro passenger vessel traffic increase of the new Motorways of the Sea Terminal.

Making a comparison between the 2020 development scenario toward the present time 2011 emissions scenario, with the unique exception for SO_2 emissions, for which the limitation of 0.5% in sulphur content for the manouvering and cruising phases brings to an important decrease, all the other pollutants record an increase between 40% to 60%, considering the whole Port of Venice and the three phases of navigation. The percentage are different considering separately the Commercial/industrial terminals in Porto Marghera and the other terminals in the historical city of Venice and considering only the hotelling and the manouvering phases.

Projet cofinance par le Fonda Européen de Developpement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Regional Development Fund

5. References

APV,2011. Autorità Portuale di Venezia, Relazione Annuale 2010.

APV, 2012. APICE Venice conference January 2012.

APV, Venice Port Authority, Brochure on the Venice Motorway of the sea terminal. Available at <u>http://www.port.venice.it/files/page/venicemotorwayoftheseaterminal.pdf</u>

APV, Venice Port Authority, New Container Terminal. Available at http://www.port.venice.it/en/the-new-container-terminal.html-0

EC, 2002. Quantification of emission from ships associated with ship movements between ports in the European Community. Final Report. July 2002. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/pdf/chapter2_ship_emissions.pdf

EC,2008. TREMOVE maritime model, Available at http://www.tremove.org/documentation/TREMOVE_maritime_model_and_runs.pdf

EEA (European Environment Agency), 2009. EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2009. Sectorial Chapter: 1.A.3.d Navigation (GB2009 update Mar 2011). Available at http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-emission-inventory-guidebook-2009/part-b-sectoral-guidance-chapters/1-energy/1-a-combustion/1-a-3-d-navigation.pdf

EPA, 2006 (United States Environmental Protection Agency). AP 42, Fifth Edition, Volume I Chapter 13: Miscellaneous Sources. 13.2.4., Aggregate Handling and Storage Piles. Available at http://www.epa.gov/ttnchie1/ap42/ch13/

GAINS-Italy - The Greenhouse Gas and Air Pollution Interactions and Synergies (GAINS) Model. Available at http://gains-it.bologna.enea.it/gains/IT/index.login

ISPRA, 2012. Road transport emissions estimated by COPERT IV methodology, used for the Italian Emission Inventories Time series 1990-2012, Ottobre 2011. http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/inventaria/Gruppo%20inventari%20locali/datitrasporto19 90-2010.zip/view

23

Projet cofinance par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Project co-Enanced by the European Recional Development Fund